Laryngopharyngeal Reflux: An Update
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Abstract

The etiopathogenesis of laryngopharyngeal reflux (LPR) involves direct caustic irritation of gastroduodenal materials and indirect laryngeal reflexes invoked by refluxate. The most common symptoms include throat clearing, persistent cough, globus pharyngeus, and hoarseness. There is only a weak correlation between LPR symptoms and endoscopic findings. It is not recommended to make a diagnosis of LPR solely based on laryngoscopic results. LPR treatment generally requires an aggressive approach, including high doses of proton pump inhibitors over long periods (twice daily for 3-4 months). Additional management options include lifestyle changes, dietary modifications, weight reduction, and exercise. Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery has been shown to reduce LPR-associated symptoms; however, surgery should only be considered for patients who have a high quantity of refluxate and esophageal complications. In this review article, we suggest a multidisciplinary approach to LPR diagnosis, involving otolaryngologists, gastroenterologists, and pulmonologists. Based on the latest findings, we propose an algorithm to facilitate the assessment and management of LPR.

Introduction

Laryngopharyngeal reflux (LPR), also referred to as extra-esophageal reflux, supra-esophageal reflux, or silent reflux, refers to a condition in which gastroesophageal content rises up the pharynx and affects the throat, specifically the laryngopharynx [1-6]. In some cases, gastric content may even reach the nasal cavities and/or ears via the Eustachian tubes, which can exacerbate rhinitis, sinusitis, or otitis media [7-9]

Otolaryngologists and gastroenterologists differ in their definitions and management of LPR [4,10-12]. Otolaryngologists treat LPR as a relatively new clinical entity, whereas gastroenterologists treat LPR as a rare extra-esophageal manifestation of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) [10,13]. Gastroenterologists have questioned whether reflux contributes to LPR-related symptoms in patients with no GERD-associated manifestations [11]. Otolaryngologists have pointed out that LPR is a multifactorial syndrome that also involves gaseous and/or nonacid refluxate [14,15]

In this article, we examine the clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and current recommended treatments of LPR. Based on the latest findings in LPR research, we propose an algorithm aimed at facilitating the assessment and management of LPR.

Differences Between LPR and GERD

Despite similarities between LPR and GERD, these are two distinct disease entities. The retrograde flow of gastroesophageal contents into the esophagus and/or adjacent structures can lead to complications or troublesome reflux-associated symptoms, such as throat clearing, heartburn, and globus pharyngeus. Reflux diseases can be categorized as GERD, erosive esophagitis, and nonerosive reflux disease (NERD). Cases of erosive esophagitis and NERD are categorized as GERD [16].

In GERD, the reflux of gastric contents is limited to the esophagus. In LPR, the reflux of gastric content affects the larynx and pharynx [12]. Despite occasional cross-diagnoses of GERD and LPR, there are essential differences (Table 1). GERD is accompanied by acidity and heartburn (retrosternal burning), which is rarely encountered in LPR patients [12]. In GERD, reflux and acidity typically occur during the night (nocturnal refluxers). In LPR, reflux typically occurs during the day (daytime refluxers) [12]. LPR symptoms occur when patients are in an upright position during periods of physical exertion (e.g., bending over, Valsalva, and exercise) [11,12,17], whereas GERD reflux occurs while patients are lying down.

Sphincters that prevent the stomach reflux from moving backwards play an important role in both diseases. Many GERD patients experience dysmotility and prolonged acidity when the lower esophageal sphincter malfunctions, thereby allowing stomach acid to move up the esophagus, causing heartburn [12,18]. LPR is associated with a failure of the upper sphincters, which allows the acid to move up to the throat and even into the nose or middle ears [7-9,12]. LPR patients commonly present tissue damage of the laryngopharyngeal epithelium [12,19].

The typical manifestations of GERD include heartburn, regurgitation, and chest pain. The typical manifestations of LPR include asthma, chronic cough, hoarseness, globus sensation, and laryngitis in adult patients. LPR patients do not usually report symptoms of heartburn, which is common in GERD patients. It is often difficult to differentiate between LPR and GERD due to overlap in the symptoms. LPR rarely occurs in isolation; i.e., without concomitant symptoms typical of GERD [20,21]. Researchers have identified a correlation between the presence of LPR and the severity of GERD; however, similarities between the two may lead to underestimates of the incidence of LPR [22]. The diagnostic sine qua non of GERD, namely endoscopic esophageal mucosal breaks (erosion or ulceration), has been reported in only 25% of patients with LPR [12,18].

<p>| Table 1. Differences Between GERD and LPR |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GERD</th>
<th>LPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accompanied by esophagitis and/or heartburn</td>
<td>Esophagitis or heartburn is rarely present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux is nocturnal or in supine position</td>
<td>Reflux is present during daytime or in upright position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal esophageal motility and prolonged esophageal acid exposure</td>
<td>Intermittent episodes of reflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunction of the lower esophageal sphincter</td>
<td>Dysfunction of the upper esophageal sphincter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat related symptoms are sometimes present</td>
<td>Leads to throat related symptoms and damage to the laryngopharyngeal epithelium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; LPR, laryngopharyngeal reflux.
Laryngopharyngeal reflux is considered if RSI > 13.

Overweight people are more prone to this condition [2].

Can induce an increase in acidity levels and has been shown to cause LPR.

Consumption of alcohol is also a contributory factor. Tight clothing some people who habitually consume acidic, oily, or spicy preparations. The been shown to contribute to LPR. This condition is more common among fraglottic edema has been identified in 90% of patients with LPR [14,36].

- Inflammation and subsequent laryngospasm. Up-regulated sensitivity in laryngeal sensory endings can lead to coughing and choking [27].

Direct refluxate irritation can cause local mucosal effects, whereas reflux in the larynx cause mucosal damage after just three episodes [13,23]. Direct refluxate irritation can cause local mucosal inflammation and subsequent laryngospasm. Up-regulated sensitivity in laryngeal sensory endings can lead to coughing and choking [27].

The indirect mechanism involves laryngeal reflexes evoked by refluxate that does not reach laryngeal tissue. Reflex evokes a vagally mediated change, resulting in clinical symptoms, including chronic cough and asthma-like symptoms though bronchoconstriction. A decrease in the resting tone of the upper and lower esophageal sphincters and increases in intra-abdominal pressure are also associated with the refluxate bolus and subsequent occurrence of LPR [11,28-35].

Etiopathogenesis of LPR

The etiopathogenesis of LPR involves direct as well as indirect mechanisms. The reflux components, which contain hydrochloric acid, pepsin, and bile acids, can irritate the laryngeal mucosa [2,23-26]. Reflux episodes in the esophagus can occur up to fifty times without harmful effects, whereas reflux in the larynx cause mucosal damage after just three episodes [13,23]. Direct refluxate irritation can cause local mucosal inflammation and subsequent laryngospasm. Up-regulated sensitivity in laryngeal sensory endings can lead to coughing and choking [27].

Clinical Manifestations

The most common symptoms of LPR include throat clearing, persistent cough, globus pharyngeus, and hoarseness [3,11,14,18,37]. Globus pharyngeus is a non-painful sensation of a lump or foreign body in the throat [38]. Heartburn is the most common symptom of GERD, occurring in more than 75% of cases [39]; however, fewer than 40% of patients with LPR report heartburn [11,12]. Reichel and Issing reported that Barrett’s metaplasia or grade B esophagitis was diagnosed only in patients in which heartburn was the main presenting symptom [40]. This suggests that upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (UGE) may be indicated in LPR patients reporting heartburn as their main complaint in order to exclude structural injuries or neoplasms.

Many of the LPR symptoms are nonspecifically associated with nasal conditions, such as allergies and postnasal drip [41,42]. LPR has been shown to have a negative effect on nasal resistance and nasal congestion [43]. Treatment associated with LPR may improve subjective and objective nasal problems [43]. Studies also revealed an association between LPR and halitosis, taste, or smelling disorders [44,45]. Researchers have recently reported that acid reflux may be associated with middle and inner ear problems, such as otitis media, tinnitus, and peripheral vertigo [46-48]. The mechanism underlying these inner ear disorders may be associated with reflux material (specifically hydrochloric acid and pepsin) leaking into the middle ear via the Eustachian tubes and affecting osseous structures.

Diagnostic Tools

Reflex Symptom Index (Table 2)

The reflux symptom index (RSI) can assist in diagnosing LPR [49,50]. The RSI is derived using a simple nine-item questionnaire in which patients rate the severity of their LPR symptoms on a Likert scale, with 0 representing no problem and 5 representing severe. A score of 7 or more is indicative of LPR. The reflux symptom index (RSI) can assist in diagnosing LPR [49,50]. The RSI is derived using a simple nine-item questionnaire in which patients rate the severity of their LPR symptoms on a Likert scale, with 0 representing no problem and 5 representing severe. A score of 7 or more is indicative of LPR.
Laryngoscopic Examinations for signs of laryngeal irritation associated with reflux are performed using flexible transnasal or rigid transoral laryngoscopes. One prospective study reported that signs of laryngeal irritation are more often detected with flexible than with rigid laryngoscopes [51]. The key features of laryngeal irritation include ventricular obliteration, vocal fold edema, diffuse laryngeal edema, posterior commissure hypertrophy, granuloma or granulation tissue (Figure 1 and Video 1), and thick endolaryngeal mucus. The clinicians rates the severity of each symptom by assigning scores from 0 (normal) to 26 (worst possible score). LPR can be diagnosed with 95% certainty in cases where the RFS exceeds 7 [54]. It can also be used to track treatment responses in patients. The RFS and RSI both help to improve the accuracy of LPR diagnoses and evaluate the efficacy of treatments. The RFS is a cost-efficient method, which can be included in otolaryngologic examinations to facilitate the diagnosis of LPR (49,50,54).

Reflux Finding Score (Table 3)
The RFS is an eight-item measure used by clinicians to rate the severity of signs of inflammation revealed in laryngoscopic examinations, including subglottic edema (pseudosulcus), ventricular obliteration, erythema or hyperemia, vocal fold edema, diffuse laryngeal edema, posterior commissure hypertrophy, granuloma or granulation tissue (Figure 1 and Video 1), and thick endolaryngeal mucus. The clinicians rates the severity of each symptom by assigning scores from 0 (normal) to 26 (worst possible score). LPR can be diagnosed with 95% certainty in cases where the RFS exceeds 7 [54]. It can also be used to track treatment responses in patients. The RFS and RSI both help to improve the accuracy of LPR diagnoses and evaluate the efficacy of treatments. The RFS is a cost-efficient method, which can be included in otolaryngologic examinations to facilitate the diagnosis of LPR (49,50,54).

Duo-Sensor pH Probe
The 24-hour dual-sensor pH probe (simultaneous esophageal and pharyngeal) is considered the gold standard in the diagnosis of GERD, with sensitivity of 93.3% and specificity of 90.4%, when using a cut-off value of 4.5% of total time with pH < 4 during a 24-hour period [55]. Ambulatory pH probe-monitoring is often applied to evaluate the efficacy of drug treatment in cases of LPR [12]; however, it is considered a less reliable test for confirming LPR [11,14]. This is primarily due to the difficulties involved in interpreting pH monitoring data and a lack of consensus on normal pH limits, number of events, and probe placement [11,56,57]. Another concern with pH probe-monitoring is its inability to detect gaseous and/or nonacid refluxate, which are potentially harmful to the laryngopharynx. As a result, intraluminal impedance testing is generally regarded as a superior approach [14].

Upper Gastrointestinal Endoscopy
UGE is also referred to as esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD). UGE can detect signs associated with GERD, such as mucosal injury, esophagitis, and Barrett esophagus as well as other complications and malignancies; however, UGE has proven less useful in detecting LPR than in identifying GERD [12,18]. In one study, UGE revealed esophageal lesions in 50% of GERD patients and in less than 20% of LPR laryngitis patients [58]. For patients presenting warning signs of complications (i.e., chronic cough, hoarseness, or dysphagia) or malignancies, it is recommended that they be referred to specialists, such as otolaryngologists, gastroenterologists, and pulmonologists [11].

Other Tests
Other tests have been also used to facilitate the diagnosis of LPR. Barium swallow esophagrams allow clinicians to screen the esophagus for related pathologies [12]. Alternative diagnostic techniques have also been devised to explore the associations between the LPR and histomolecular findings, including salivary epidermal growth factor, immunologic markers, laryngeal mucosa gene expression, and histologic changes [59-61].

Behavior Modifications
It is important that LPR is diagnosed and treated effectively. A failure to do so can lead to chronic cough, granulation of arytenoids, and/or ulcers on the vocal folds. This condition has also been linked to asthma, bronchitis, chronic rhinitis, sinusitis, and otitis media. Researchers have reported potential associations between acid reflux and esophageal, opharyngeal, and hypopharyngeal neoplasms [62].

Studies have found that approximately half of the patients with mild LPR can avoid symptoms by implementing changes in their lifestyle [27].
These lifestyle changes are related to eating, drinking, and other habits. For example, raising the bed at the head side has been shown to prevent LPR symptoms. It is also recommended that patients quit smoking, lose weight, and wear loose clothing.

**Dietary Modifications**

LPR symptoms may be reduced by changing dietary habits. Patients are advised to eat food early (at least two hours before bedtime) to allow time for digestion before lying down [11]. Moreover, patients with acid reflux diseases are also advised against ingesting too much coffee [26] or carbonated drinks, which are known to affect acidity and cause reflux [63].

Spicy foods irritate the lower esophageal mucosa leading to heartburn and a burning sensation in their chests. High-fat foods and chocolate are known to prolong gastric emptying, and high-fat foods take longer to digest and have been associated with higher incidences of GERD and erosive esophagitis [64]. Note that some studies have reported that a high-fat diet has no effect on esophageal acid exposure or transient relaxation of lower esophageal sphincter [65-67]. Nonetheless, patients should be advised to avoid fatty diets to facilitate digestion and promote overall health. A high-calorie diet can also affect esophageal acid exposure. One study found that a high-calorie diet was associated with prolonged acidity in the stomach, which could aggravate reflux symptoms [68].

**Lifestyle Changes**

Reflux diseases are known as lifestyle diseases. Patients are therefore advised to avoid smoking, as it is known to cause acid production [11]. Smoking cigarettes is directly correlated with acid retention leading further to slow clearance of esophageal acid. Smokers have also been shown to have a higher incidence of reflux symptoms, compared to non-smokers [69,70].

Researchers have identified a direct link between the consumption of alcoholic drinks and acid exposure and reflux. Alcoholic drinks of all types are a direct cause of heartburn. Consuming large quantities of alcohol poses the same risks, regardless of whether it was beer, wine, or spirits. Wine and beer have also been found to cause reflux, even in small quantities. Endoscopic studies have revealed that white wine and beer have similar effects on reflux esophagitis and abnormal pH levels. White wine has a more pronounced effect on acid exposure than does red wine [71-74].

**Weight Reduction**

Weight reduction is essential for patients suffering from LPR and GERD, due to the prevalence of these symptoms in obese patients. Researchers have shown a strong relationship between obesity and acid reflux [75], and a high body mass index (BMI) is directly related to acid reflux [76,77]. Weight gain can aggravate reflux symptoms and weight loss can have the reverse effect, allowing patients to reduce taking reflux medications [78,79].

**Exercise**

Patients are advised to participate in exercise sessions of at least 30 minutes each day as a guard against reflux symptoms. Patients who are less active physically are more at risk of developing reflux problems [80,81].
ditional benefits [11,14,82]. Neuromodulators (e.g., tricylic antidepressants, gabapentin, and pregabalin) may be an option for patients with symptoms that are not relieved by acid suppression, particularly in cases where laryngeal sensitivity (neuropathy) appears to be contributing to symptoms of LPR [11,33,35,83]. LPR treatment generally requires an aggressive approach, including high doses of PPIs over long periods (twice daily for 3-4 months) [10,12,14]. Note that the efficacy of PPIs in LPR treatment is controversial and has not been conclusively proven [84-86].

**Surgery**

Surgery is usually a last resort in LPR treatment [11,89-91]. Patients should be warned that the response of their laryngeal symptoms to surgery is uncertain. Surgery should only be used in cases where patients responded to PPI therapy but did not achieve complete relief of LPR symptoms.

**Recommended Approaches to Reflux Assessment**

There are, as yet, no multidisciplinary approaches to the assessment and management of LPR [13]. It is still difficult to differentiate among reflux disorders, such as LPR and GERD, due to an overlap of symptoms. There is also some controversy about the routine use of endoscopy for patients with reflux disorder on their initial visit. Based on previous research, we developed the algorithm shown in Figure 2, with the aim of streamlining the assessment and management of reflux disorders, including LPR and GERD.

Patients with symptoms suggestive of complications or malignancies (e.g., dysphagia) require a referral to specialists for an endoscopic examination. Chest pain is seldom a symptom indicative of LPR; therefore, it is important to differentiate between cardiac from non-cardiac chest pain before considering LPR as a potential culprit. Chest X-rays may be required to exclude the possibility of lung disorders for patients presenting with chronic cough (2 or more weeks). Hoarseness is sometimes associated with uncomplicated LPR; however, lingering hoarseness for more than 2 weeks warrants an investigation of potential complications, including underlying vocal cord paralysis or lesions. This would involve referral to an otolaryngologist for laryngoscopic examination.

In primary care units, the diagnosis may be based primarily on LPR-associated symptoms and a therapeutic trial that includes lifestyle changes, dietary modifications, and the short-term use of PPIs. If LPR-related symptoms can be resolved within 2-4 weeks of a therapeutic trial using PPIs or H2AT, titrating therapy at the lowest dosage may be required for 2-3 months. Patients who show a less than complete improvement in symptoms may require a maintenance regimen for 2-3 months before initiating titrating pharmacologic treatment. It is suggested that patients are referred to specialists in the event that short-term therapeutic trials fail.

**Summary and Conclusion**

LPR is clinically distinct from GERD. LPR is very common, particularly among the elderly. Numerous existing methods provide useful diagnostic information on LPR, including endoscopic evidence of mucosal damage, demonstration of reflux events by multichannel impedance and pH-monitoring studies, radiography, esophageal manometry, spectrophotometric measurement of bile reflux, and mucosal biopsy. Nonetheless, there remains some controversy regarding the appropriate course of action in the diagnosis of LPR, and no test is considered conclusively reliable.

LPR symptoms can be alleviated or eliminated by adopting changes in lifestyle, such as dietary, behavioral, and lifestyle habits. Patients are also advised to avoid sweet and fried foods, refrain from smoking and drinking, and wear loose comfortable clothing. They should also try to reduce stress in their lives and reduce their weight. Further investigations into alternative causes of laryngeal symptoms, including allergy, sinusitis, or pulmonary disorders, should be considered for patients who fail to respond to LPR treatments.

The risk of misdiagnosis based on reliable medical history records is relatively small. When the diagnosis is in question or the therapeutic response to PPIs is unsatisfactory, referral to a specialist is required to confirm the diagnosis of LPR.
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