## **CASE REPORT**

# Donor Site Ischemic Events Following Fibula Flap Harvest in Head and Neck Reconstruction: A Case Report and Perioperative Management Strategies



Arvid Linderson, MD<sup>1,2</sup>; Eleonora O. F. Dimovska, MBBS, MRCS, MSc<sup>1,2</sup>; Andres Rodriguez-Lorenzo, MD, PhD<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plastic and Maxillofacial Surgery, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden <sup>2</sup> Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

### ABSTRACT

Morbidity associated with the donor site after harvesting free fibula flaps is generally considered low and most commonly related to complications associated with the healing of split skin graft closures. The occurrence of donor site ischemia following the harvesting of a fibula flap is uncommon, but if the event occurs, it may have catastrophic consequences. In this paper, the authors present a case study describing an ischemic lower limb following a free fibula harvest for head and neck reconstruction. A postoperative angiography revealed occlusion of the remaining anterior tibial and posterior tibial vessels, with only collateral circulation supplying the lower leg. In order to restore circulation to the leg, endovascular angioplasty of the anterior tibial artery was performed. Upon healing of the free osseocutaneous fibula flap at the recipient site (without complications), the patient was discharged and scheduled for outpatient follow-up. As a result of unfavorable wound healing at the donor site despite endovascular interventions, the patient's lower limb was later amputated below the knee. The authors present an overview of the preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative strategies for diagnosing and managing complications associated with free fibula flap harvests.

## INTRODUCTION

CASE REPORT

Osseous free flaps are considered the gold standard for the reconstruction of larger osseous defects due to head and neck cancer, as its success rate is over 95% in most centers [1,2]. Free osseocutaneous fibula flap, described by Wei et al. [3] and later popularized by Hidalgo [4], is often referred to as the most commonly used flap to reconstruct the mandible. Among its benefits are a long pedicle with wide diameter vessels, a long bone suitable for osteotomies, and an ability to be harvested simultaneously with tumor removal [5,6]. There are also other osseous flaps that are less frequently used for a variety of reasons: the osseous radial forearm flap was long ago abandoned due to the risk of fractures and sensory disturbances; the iliac crest flap has an ideal bony stock, but has a substantial skin paddle, which makes it unsuitable for intraoral lining and increases the risk of herniation; and typically the scapula flap requires intraoperative repositioning of the patient and is difficult to harvest simultaneously with tumor removal [7-12]. The use of the scapula in osseous head and neck reconstruction is slowly gaining momentum. However, it has yet to take over from the free fibula flap, which still dominates this procedure.

Free fibula flaps have earned a stellar reputation; however, they do not always disclose significant donor site complications that may complicate the surgery. Various studies have revealed that chronic ankle pain and mobility issues can be unpredictable and potentially debilitating [13-16]. In contrast, compartment syndrome and vascular ischemia are not often mentioned in the literature, but their occurrence is possibly preventable. By predicting and preventing donor site complications, free flap surgery can more readily measure success based on donor site morbidity. The presented case illustrates the unfortunate consequences of lower limb ischemia resulting from vascular stenosis following a free fibula flap harvest. Furthermore, the authors discuss preoperative, postoperative, and intraoperative strategies for identifying and managing at-risk limbs. We describe here the case of a patient who developed critical ischemia in the lower extremity following free fibula flap reconstruction of the mandible at Uppsala University Hospital in Sweden. A written consent was obtained for the use of the patient's images for publication, and data was handled according to our institution's research ethics policies (ethical approval number DNR 2017/207).

The patient was an 84-year-old woman who had a history of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, arrhythmias, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. She presented with pT4aN0M0 gingival squamous cell carcinoma involving the right hemi-mandible. A multidisciplinary tumor board recommended resection of the hemi-mandible, a unilateral neck dissection, and a free fibula flap reconstruction. In the preoperative clinical assessment of the lower leg, no palpable pulses were found. A handheld doppler however, demonstrated adequate flow in the dorsalis pedis artery. Due to the high blood creatinine levels, a computerized tomographic angiography was not recommended.

After the successful completion of the surgery, a mandibular reconstruction was performed with the right fibula, and the donor site was closed with a split skin graft. The ischemia time during the surgery was less than 90 minutes. In accordance with the established protocol for our department, the patient was given low-molecular-weight-heparin as a prophylactic dose prior to surgery and acetylsalicylic acid and low-molecular-weight-heparin as a follow-up dose following surgery.

The right foot showed reduced circulation on day one following surgery along with diminished capillary refill. The patient subsequently developed necrosis of the medial aspect of the forefoot with additional necrotic patches developing over the foot and lower leg. In an urgent postoperative angiography, it was found that the remaining anterior tibial and posterior tibial vessels had been occluded, leaving only collateral circulation supplying the lower leg (Figure 1A). After consulting with the vascular surgery department, an attempt was made to restore circulation of the leg by performing an endovascular an



Figure 1. (A) An angiography of the lower leg postoperatively shows collateral circulation and occlusion of the remaining anterior and posterior tibial vessels. (B) A clinical observation at the donor site postoperatively indicates necrosis of the first and second toes, as well as the dorsum of the foot. (C) A postoperative photograph demonstrates necrotic muscles in the lateral compartment.

gioplasty of the anterior tibial artery. As the Doppler signal in the tibialis anterior was restored after the procedure, no additional intervention was needed.

Following serial debridement, it was discovered that the patient had necrotic muscles in the lateral compartment, which were also debrided along with the necrotized great toe (Figure 1B-C). With the use of negative pressure wound therapy and skin grafting, the resulting defects were covered. Furthermore, during the patient's hospital stay, the patient suffered from chest pains, for which a diagnosis of myocardial infarction was made, which was treated medically. In the end, the free osseocutaneous fibula flap healed into the recipient site without complications (Figure 2), and the patient was discharged and scheduled for follow-up in the outpatient clinic. Following the initial surgery, the patient experienced an unfavorable healing response in the lower limb and was therefore required to undergo a below knee amputation of the affected leg.

## DISCUSSION

Complications related to the donor site following free fibula flap harvests are frequently attributed to delayed wound healing during the early phase of the postoperative course. However, chronic pain and/or gait disturbances have been reported as a result of donor site complications in the long-term [13-16]. The risk of serious complications following an ischemic event is rare [7,8,17,18]. However, vascular anomalies, peripheral vascular disease (partic-

ularly in the elderly population), and tight closure of the donor site are known factors which can lead to ischemia in the lower leg following a fibula flap harvest [19-22]. In the following discussion, we analyze their occurrence and suggest strategies for identifying and managing them (Table 1).

#### **Management of Vascular Anomalies**

There have been several vascular variations and dominance patterns described for the lower limb, which may limit the harvesting of the fibula flap. Kim et al. reported three main types of infra-popliteal arterial variations (types I-III) based on varying branching patterns [23]. For a free fibula flap harvest, the most critical subgroup is type IIIC, which represents the dominant peroneal artery as the only contributing artery to the foot. As a result of hypoplastic and/or aplastic anterior and/or posterior tibial arteries, the peroneal artery becomes the only artery to supply blood to the foot, a condition known as peronea arteria magna, whose prevalence has been reported to range from 0.2% to 8.3% [24-27]. Based on a systematic review of 5790 limbs from 26 studies, Abou-Foul and Borumandi found that critical infra-popliteal vascular anomalies are present in 10% of the population, with a predominance of the peroneal artery in 5.2% [26]. The same group reviewed 18 articles with 26 cases of free fibula harvests in patients with known peroneal artery dominant lower limbs in a subsequent article [24]. It was found that five cases (one of which was a true type IIIC peronea arteria magna variant) had undergone successful harvests after intraoperative clamping had revealed adequate distal



Figure 2. (A) A postoperative photograph demonstrating satisfactory wound healing without complications at the recipient site. (B) The free osseocutaneous fibula flap heals into the recipient site and provides the recipient with the full shape of the mandible.

perfusion, as well as two cases that were not radiologically evaluated prior to surgery and did not display intraoperative suspicion of peronea arteria magna. Following surgery, these two patients both developed severe ischemia and needed interposition vein grafts to re-establish blood flow. Another rare vascular variant on the lower limb is the low division of the tibio-peroneal trunk [28], which might require the sacrifice of the posterior tibial artery as well as the placement of a vein graft to bridge its gap.

Even though the peronea arteria magna is described as a relative rather than an absolute contraindication for fibula flap harvest, it would be helpful to be aware of its presence before the surgery in order to make an informed surgical decision [29]. When an unexpected intraoperative finding is determined to be associated with a peronea arteria magna, it can be attempted to diagnose the condition by clamping the peroneal artery at the distal portion of the fibula in order to determine if perfusion is available to the foot. When there is a suspicion of ischemia, an oximeter can be placed on the great toe to assess the blood flow. If diminished perfusion is evident, the surgeon should abandon the flap or use a long vein graft to bridge the peroneal artery gap if it is to be used. The presence of a low tibio-peroneal division would also necessitate the use of a vein graft to bridge the resulting gap in the posterior tibial artery. Although one could consider harvesting the fibula as a non-vascularized bone graft, grafting larger than 5 cm is not recommended [30].

#### **Management of Vascular Stenosis**

Atherosclerosis-induced vascular stenosis can have devastating effects on the flap and the donor site. Old age and/or diabetes are risk factors for atheroscle-rosis, which should alert the surgeon to possible complications [31].

There should be a combination of examinations performed prior to surgery. Palpable pulses can lead to confusion, as retrograde arterial flow is capable of causing palpable pulses as well as concealing proximal stenosis. Allen's test may be useful in determining the degree of proximal perfusion to the foot from non-compressed vessels. Handheld dopplers are often used, but until the user is familiar with the meaning of the waveform and the functions they perform, they may present similar problems to manual palpation. Waveforms can be characterized as triphasic, biphasic, or monophasic, with monophasic waveforms indicating significant stenosis [33]. In the lower limb, the ankle-brachial-pressure index is commonly used to determine if a patient has atherosclerosis, but the results can be inaccurate due to the incompressibility of stiff vessels. It is preferred to measure great toe pressure rather than ankle pressure since the great toe digital vessels are less prone to atherosclerosis. During a preoperative vascular clinical evaluation, it is imperative to recognize that the clinical examination may lead to an unjustified sense of security when it comes to interpreting the vascular supply to the lower extremity. Even normal palpable pulses and audible Doppler signaling cannot guarantee the presence of a sufficient blood supply.

To prevent misinterpretation, a radiologic examination of the perfusion and/or flow pressure is imperative. A computed tomography angiography is often performed, however magnetic resonance imaging or color duplex ultrasound are more appropriate for patients with poor renal function [34]. Alternatively, intravenous fluid infusions can be applied before and after the computer tomographic angiography to prevent renal impairment. There has been debate over the utility of preoperative imaging prior to the harvesting of a fibula flap, but most studies have shown that clinical examination alone is not sufficient to identify vascular anomalies, whether congenital or acquired, in the lower limb [26,35,36]. Even experienced surgeons can be misled by more complex vascular anomalies and can unnecessarily delay the operation and endanger the reconstruction. A preoperative assessment of the lower limb's vascular system could benefit the surgeon both at the planning stage and during surgery, since preoperative interventions far outweigh postoperative salvage from the point of view of patient safety and medical liability.

An individual should always be informed about the possibility of harvesting vein grafts, of abandoning the flap intraoperatively, or of using an alternative flap option intraoperatively. Scapula free flaps are a viable alternative to fibula flaps since they can be harvested in a prone position and have been found to have fewer complications at the donor site [37]. Moreover, the scapular vessels are less susceptible to atherosclerotic changes than the lower limb vessels, making them a more suitable option for elderly patients [38].

#### **Complications and Management of Donor Site Closure**

Compartmental syndrome has been reported as the primary cause of ischemia after fibula harvesting [19-21,39-46]. This issue relates to the primary closure of the donor site under tension. When a skin paddle is more than four to six centimeters wide, the donor site should be closed with split thickness

Stage	Finding	Potential issue	Management suggestion
Preoperative	Palpable pulses	Despite palpable pulses, reversed flow can mask a true patency.	A pedal Allen's test can be performed. A radiologi examination should be performed to confirm tha there are no vascular anomalies.
	Normal ABPI	The metric should not be solely relied upon due to the possibility of false pressure values resulting from atherosclerotic vessels.	Perform a toe-brachial index pressure measure ment, perform a radiologic examination, and i necessary, consider vascular intervention prior to surgery.
	Weak or no palpable pulses	An audible Doppler ultrasound may not be able to guarantee a definitive response in these circumstanc- es.	Obtain radiological evidence of adequate flow and consider vascular intervention if necessary.
	A monophonic sound on Doppler	It is critical to identify the characteristics of the doppler waveform, such as monophasic waves, that indicate stenosis.	Refer patients for vascular intervention after a radio logical evaluation.
	Vascular anomaly on radiographs	Complications related to harvesting and donor site healing may occur.	An alternative flap or contralateral leg should b considered.
	Insufficient renal function to perform CTA	The risk of missing vascular anomalies/stenosis may exist.	The administration of intravenous fluids both befor and after CTA should be considered. Alternatively magnetic resonance angiography or color duple ultrasound could be utilized.
Intraoperative	Peronea arteria magna observed intraoperatively	If the fibula is harvested from a peronea arteria mag- na, the remaining vessels may compromise the blood supply to the lower extremity.	The peroneal artery should be clamped intraoper atively to ensure adequate remaining perfusion. I case the level of perfusion is insufficient, a vein grat can be used to bridge the peroneal artery gap, and the fibula may also be harvested as a graft.
	Low tibio-peroneal division	The posterior tibial artery gap remains in order to add more length to the pedicle.	A greater saphenous vein can be utilized to bridg the gap.
Postoperative	The presence of necrotic patches	An impending ischemia of the lower extremity	Saturation should be measured in the great toe There is an urgent need for a radiological examina tion and a vascular consultation.
	Signs of compartment syndrome	Hypoperfusion of soft tissues due to tight closure	Even in non-tight conditions, it is advisable to clos the donor site with a split skin graft in order to elim inate the risk of subsequent swelling. If compar ment syndrome is suspected, it is recommended t open the closure. Postoperative anesthetic blockad of the lower limbs should be avoided.

<b>Table 1.</b> Management Suggestions for vascular issues Observed Fredperatively, initial peratively, and Fostoperative	Table 1. Management Suggestions for Vascular Issues Observed Preoperatively, Int	traoperatively, and Postoperatively
---	--	-------------------------------------

ABPI, ankle-brachial-pressure index; CTA, computed tomography angiography.

skin graft [47]. However, Saleem et al. [48] and Sun et al. [46] report cases in which a donor site was considered to be closed under high tension despite a minimally wide skin paddle. As a result, split thickness skin grafting should always be considered for closing the donor site regardless of the size of the skin paddle [18,49]. Additionally, postoperative vigilance should be maintained to detect early clinical signs of compartment syndrome, which can be masked especially when local anesthetic blocks are used [39]. If there is a suspicion of postoperative compartment syndrome, the wound closure should be opened as soon as possible.

## CONCLUSION

Critical ischemic events in the lower limb following free fibula flap harvests are rarely reported in the literature, however, the complications can be catastrophic. Clinical and radiological pre-operative evaluations are critical to identify vascular anomalies or stenotic vessels, facilitate planning, and reduce vascular ischemic events.

## **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

\*Correspondence: Andres Rodriguez-Lorenzo, MD, PhD, Department of Plastic and Maxillofacial Surgery, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden; Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, 75236 Uppsala, Sweden. Email: andres.rodriguez. Iorenzo@surgsci.uu.se

Received: Dec. 27, 2021; Accepted: Feb. 21, 2022; Published: Apr. 25, 2022

#### DOI: 10.24983/scitemed.imj.2022.00159

**Declaration of Data Availability:** The data supporting the findings of this case are available upon request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to various restrictions, such as the fact that they contain data that may compromise the privacy of research participants.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate: The study is in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The authors obtained permission from the participants in the human research prior to publishing their images or photographs.

Funding: This research has received no specific grant from any funding agency either in

the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Conflict of Interest:** There are no conflicts of interest declared by either the authors or the contributors of this article, which is their intellectual property.

**Copyright** © 2022 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY). In accordance with accepted academic practice, anyone may use, distribute, or reproduce this material, so long as the original author(s), the copyright holder(s), and the original publication of this journal are credited, and this publication is cited as the original. To the extent permitted by these terms and conditions of license, this material may not be compiled, distributed, or reproduced in any manner that is inconsistent with those terms and conditions.

**Publisher Disclaimer:** It should be noted that the opinions and statements expressed in this article are those of the respective author(s) and are not to be regarded as factual statements. These opinions and statements may not represent the views of their affiliated organizations, the publishing house, the editors, or any other reviewers since these are the sole opinion and statement of the author(s). The publisher does not guarantee or endorse any of the statements that are made by the manufacturer of any product discussed in this article, or any statements that are made by the author(s) in relation to the mentioned product.

## REFERENCES

- Hidalgo DA, Disa JJ, Cordeiro PG, Hu QY. A review of 716 consecutive free flaps for oncologic surgical defects: Refinement in donor-site selection and technique. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1998;102(3):722-732; discussion 733-724.
- Hanasono MM, Friel MT, Klem C, et al. Impact of reconstructive microsurgery in patients with advanced oral cavity cancers. *Head Neck* 2009;31(10):1289-1296.
- Wei FC, Chen HC, Chuang CC, Noordhoff MS. Fibular osteoseptocutaneous flap: Anatomic study and clinical application. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1986;78(2):191-200.
- Hidalgo DA. Fibula free flap: A new method of mandible reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg 1989;84(1):71-79.
- Kokosis G, Schmitz R, Powers DB, Erdmann D. Mandibular reconstruction using the free vascularized fibula graft: An overview of different modifications. *Arch Plast* Surg 2016;43(1):3-9.
- Olsson P, Nysjo F, Rodriguez-Lorenzo A, Thor A, Hirsch JM, Carlbom IB. Haptics-assisted virtual planning of bone, soft tissue, and vessels in fibula osteocutaneous free flaps. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 2015;3(8):e479.
- Ling XF, Peng X. What is the price to pay for a free fibula flap? A systematic review of donor-site morbidity following free fibula flap surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2012;129(3):657-674.
- Ling XF, Peng X, Samman N. Donor-site morbidity of free fibula and DCIA flaps. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2013;71(9):1604-1612.
- Gonzalez-Garcia R, Naval-Gias L, Rodriguez-Campo FJ, Roman-Romero L. Reconstruction of oromandibular defects by vascularized free flaps: The radial forearm free flap and fibular free flap as major donor sites. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67(7):1473-1477.
- 10. Papadopulos NA, Schaff J, Bucher H, Groener R, Geishauser M, Biemer E. Donor site morbidity after harvest of free osteofasciocutaneous fibular flaps with an extended skin island. *Ann Plast Surg* 2002;49(2):138-144.
- Momoh AO, Yu P, Skoracki RJ, Liu S, Feng L, Hanasono MM. A prospective cohort study of fibula free flap donor-site morbidity in 157 consecutive patients. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2011;128(3):714-720.
- Kearns M, Ermogenous P, Myers S, Ghanem AM. Osteocutaneous flaps for head and neck reconstruction: A focused evaluation of donor site morbidity and patient reported outcome measures in different reconstruction options. *Arch Plast Surg* 2018;45(6):495-503.
- 13. Hatchell AC, Schrag CH, Temple-Oberle CF, et al. Patient-reported outcomes after fibula free flap harvest: A pilot study. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2021;148(6):1007e-1011e.
- Hadouiri N, Feuvrier D, Pauchot J, Decavel P, Sagawa Y. Donor site morbidity after vascularized fibula free flap: Gait analysis during prolonged walk conditions. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;47(3):309-315.
- Feuvrier D, Sagawa Y, Jr., Beliard S, Pauchot J, Decavel P. Long-term donor-site morbidity after vascularized free fibula flap harvesting: Clinical and gait analysis. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2016;69(2):262-269.
- Sparkman DM, Simmons MR, Patil YJ. Subjective morbidity following fibular free flap reconstruction in head and neck cancer patients. *J Laryngol Otol* 2018;132(8):729-733.

- 17. Goodacre TE, Walker CJ, Jawad AS, Jackson AM, Brough MD. Donor site morbidity following osteocutaneous free fibula transfer. *Br J Plast Surg* 1990;43(4):410-412.
- Shindo M, Fong BP, Funk GF, Karnell LH. The fibula osteocutaneous flap in head and neck reconstruction: A critical evaluation of donor site morbidity. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2000;126(12):1467-1472.
- Topkara A, Ozkan A, Ozcan RH. The treatment of tissue defects related to the compartment syndrome occurring in the fibula osteocutaneous free flap donor area with vacuum assisted closure therapy. *Microsurgery* 2015;35(5):417-418.
- Hwang JH, Kim KS, Lee SY. A case of nonisland pedicled foot fillet flap for below-knee amputation stump wound: Treatment option for compartment syndrome after fibular free flap surgery. J Korean Med Sci 2014;29(2):305-308.
- Berzofsky C, Shin E, Mashkevich G. Leg compartment syndrome after fibula free flap. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013;148(1):172-173.
- Wilkman T, Husso A, Lassus P. Clinical comparison of scapular, fibular, and iliac crest osseal free flaps in maxillofacial reconstructions. *Scand J Surg* 2019;108(1):76-82.
- Kim D, Orron DE, Skillman JJ. Surgical significance of popliteal arterial variants. A unified angiographic classification. *Ann Surg* 1989;210(6):776-781.
- Abou-Foul AK, Fasanmade A, Prabhu S, Borumandi F. Anatomy of the vasculature of the lower leg and harvest of a fibular flap: A systematic review. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;55(9):904-910.
- Oner S, Oner Z. Popliteal artery branching variations: A study on multidetector CT angiography. Sci Rep 2020;10(1):8147.
- Abou-Foul AK, Borumandi F. Anatomical variants of lower limb vasculature and implications for free fibula flap: Systematic review and critical analysis. *Microsur*gery 2016;36(2):165-172.
- 27. Karanas YL, Antony A, Rubin G, Chang J. Preoperative CT angiography for free fibula transfer. *Microsurgery* 2004;24(2):125-127.
- Anand R, Mourouzis C, Wilbourn M, Tilley E, Brennan PA. An unreported variation of the course of peroneal artery during fibula flap harvest. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;45(7):588-589.
- 29. Fernandes R. Fibula free flap in mandibular reconstruction. *Atlas Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2006;14(2):143-150.
- 30. Wei FC, Mardini S. Flaps and Reconstructive Surgery. 2nd ed. London: Elsevier; 2016.
- Khan MN, Russo J, Spivack J, et al. Association of body mass index with infectious complications in free tissue transfer for head and neck reconstructive surgery. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2017;143(6):574-579.
- Rosson GD, Singh NK. Devascularizing complications of free fibula harvest: Peronea arteria magna. J Reconstr Microsurg 2005;21(8):533-538.
- Spronk S, den Hoed PT, de Jonge LC, van Dijk LC, Pattynama PM. Value of the duplex waveform at the common femoral artery for diagnosing obstructive aortoiliac disease. J Vasc Surg 2005;42(2):236-242; discussion 242.
- Colen DL, Colen LB. Indications for vascular intervention in lower extremity reconstruction. In: *Lower Extremity Reconstruction: A Practical Guide*. 1st ed. Edited by Hong J, Hallock G. Thieme;2021:15-22.
- Lutz BS, Wei FC, Ng SH, Chen IH, Chen SH. Routine donor leg angiography before vascularized free fibula transplantation is not necessary: A prospective study in 120 clinical cases. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1999;103(1):121-127.
- Young DM, Trabulsy PP, Anthony JP. The need for preoperative leg angiography in fibula free flaps. J Reconstr Microsurg 1994;10(5):283-287; discussion 287-289.
- Mobargha N, Tallroth L, Sjovall J, Hafstrom A, Elebro K, Klasson S. Scapular osseous free flap in head and neck reconstruction: An assessment of the postoperative function of the donor site. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2022;75(2):753-760.
- Vieira L, Isacson D, Dimovska EOF, Rodriguez-Lorenzo A. Four lessons learned from complications in head and neck microvascular reconstructions and prevention strategies. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 2021;9(1):e3329.
- Colletti G, Autelitano L, Rabbiosi D, et al. Technical refinements in mandibular reconstruction with free fibula flaps: Outcome-oriented retrospective review of 99 cases. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2014;34(5):342-348.
- Saydam FA, Basaran K, Ceran F, Mert B. Foot ischemia after a free fibula flap harvest: Immediate salvage with an interpositional sapheneous vein graft. J Craniofac Surg 2014;25(5):1784-1786.
- Kuvat SV, Keklik B, Ozden BC, Ucar A, Cizmeci O. Major donor area complication after a mandibular reconstruction with an osseous fibular free flap: Pseudo-compartment syndrome. J Craniofac Surg 2012;23(2):499-501.
- Rubino C, Faenza M, Muzzeddu GP, Massarelli O, Tullio A, Farace F. Compartment syndrome at the fibula flap's donor site and salvage by anerolateral thigh chimeric flap. *Microsurgery* 2012;32(8):657-658.
- 43. Wang J, Wang C. Osteofascial compartment syndrome. *J Craniofac Surg* 2011;22(3):1100-1102.

- Fodor L, Dinu C, Fodor M, Ciuce C. Severe compartment syndrome following fibula harvesting for mandible reconstruction. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2011;40(4):443-445.
- Kerrary S, Schouman T, Cox A, Bertolus C, Febrer G, Bertrand JC. Acute compartment syndrome following fibula flap harvest for mandibular reconstruction. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2011;39(3):206-208.
- 46. Sun G, Yang X, Wen J, Wang A, Hu Q, Tang E. Treatment of compartment syndrome in donor site of free fibula flap after mandibular reconstruction surgery. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2009;108(5):e15-18.
- 47. Wei FC, Celik N, Chen HC, Cheng MH, Huang WC. Combined anterolateral thigh flap and vascularized fibula osteoseptocutaneous flap in reconstruction of extensive composite mandibular defects. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2002;109(1):45-52.
- 48. Saleem M, Hashim F, Babu Manohar M. Compartment syndrome in a free fibula osteocutaneous flap donor site. *Br J Plast Surg* 1998;51(5):405-407.
- Klein S, Hage JJ, Woerdeman LA. Donor-site necrosis following fibula free-flap transplantation: A report of three cases. *Microsurgery* 2005;25(7):538-542; discussion 542.